



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,391		08/29/2001	Richard W. Busser	4430-26	9713
22442	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
SHERIDA			CHOI, WOO H		
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER,	CO 80	202	2186		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/942,391	BUSSER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	,		2186			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Woo H. Choi pears on the cover sheet with the o	<u> </u>			
Period fo						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S	eptember 2004.				
	<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		<u>_</u>				
2) Notic 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,391

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benhase *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,665,743, hereinafter "Benhase") in view of Hansen *et al.* (US Patent No. 6,697,913, hereinafter "Hansen")
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 18 and 20, Benhase discloses a method for initializing an array of drives, comprising:

providing an array of drives (figure 1) including a first drive and a second drive (4), a controller (6) and a bus subsystem that enables communications between said controller and said array of drives (col. 6, lines 56 - 57, SCSI), each of said drives being associated with a priority and with said first drive having greater priority than said second drive (according to the specification, SCSI devices has a SCSI identifier with an assigned priority, see specification page 2, lines 12 - 14); and

causing substantially equal usage of said bus subsystem by all of said drives while performing a zero initialization of said drives (figure 3, zero initialization occurs one stripe at a time, i.e. one block per disk drive resulting in a substantially equal usage of the bus),

wherein said causing substantially equal usage of said bus subsystem includes:

issuing a first number of write operations to each of said drives in said array (figure 3, 114 - 130), wherein each of said first number of write related operations issued to a one of said drives concerns a different logical block address (col. 3, lines 1 - 5, line 15) range than any other of said first number of write related operations issued to said one of said drives (write operation for sector 2 concerns address range 0 - 2, and for sector 4 concerns a an address range 0 - 4, or 3 - 4 which are different from ranges 0 - 2 and 1 - 2).

However, Benhase does not specifically disclose that the method issues at least one more write related operations in response to determining whether a write operation has been completed and that the write related operations are queued. On the other hand, Hansen discloses such a step. Hansen discloses a method of queuing disk commands wherein a disk operation is issued in response to determining whether a write operation has been completed (col. 1, lines 48 – 51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Banhase and Hansen before him at the time the invention was made, to use the command queuing teachings of the disk storage system of Hansen in the disk storage system of Banhase, in order to increase the I/O performance of disk drives (Hansen, col. 1, lines 46 – 48).

4. With respect to claims 9 and 12, Benhase discloses an apparatus for initializing an array of drives (figure 1), comprising:

Art Unit: 2186

an array of drives for storing information (4), said array of drives including at least a first drive and a second drive with said first drive being associated with a higher priority than said second drive (col. 6, lines 56 - 57);

a bus subsystem connected to said array of drives (col. 6, lines 56 – 57); and a controller (6) in communication with said array of drives using said bus subsystem, said controller for controlling issuance of write operations, including a first write operation, second write operation, and a third write operation to said array of drives in order to initialize said drives, wherein said controller controls said first write operation to at least each of said first and second drives, controls said second write operation to at least said first and second drives, and controls said third write operation to at least said first and second drives, and in which said third write operation is controlled to said at least first and second drives after at least one of said first write operation and second operation has been completed on at least each of said second drive and said first drive (figure 3, zero initialization occurs one stripe at a time, so a second stripe is written after the first stripe and a third stripe after the second stripe). The Examiner notes that based on Benhase's disclosure in col. 2, line 52 – col. 3, line 5, one of ordinary skill in the art can immediately discern that an Enterprise Storage Server that takes up to several hours to initialize has a capacity of at least three 524 byte sectors (i.e. at least 3 write operations for initialization).

However, Benhase does not specifically disclose that each drive is associated with a queue. On the other hand, Hansen discloses such a queue (figure 2, 33).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,391 Page 5

Art Unit: 2186

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Banhase and Hansen before him at the time the invention was made, to use the command queuing teachings of the disk storage system of Hansen in the disk storage system of Banhase, in order to increase the I/O performance of disk drives (Hansen, col. 1, lines 46 - 48).

- 5. With respect to claims 2 and 11, said causing step includes providing write operations to all said drives of said array during substantially all the time said zero initialization of said drives is being performed (col. 4, line 66 col. 5, line 3).
- 6. With respect to claim 3, said causing step includes controlling utilization of said bus subsystem independently of said priority (the bus is utilized substantially equally and independent of the priority arrangement of the SCSI bus since one block is written to every disk per stripe).
- 7. With respect to claim 4, said issuing a first number of write related operations to each of said drives step includes issuing a predetermined number of at least two write operations to said first drive and a predetermined number of at least two write operations to said second drive and in which subsequent issuing of another write operation to said first drive is made after at least one of said predetermined number of at least two write operations is completed by said second drive and after at least one of said predetermined number of at least two write operations is completed by said first drive (as discussed above, zero initialization occurs one stripe at a time,

Application/Control Number: 09/942,391 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

i.e. second stripe is written after the completion of the write operation of the first stripe and there are at least three stripes or sectors per disk).

- 8. With respect to claims 5 and 13, said predetermined number of at least two write operations issued to said first drive relates to one or more ranges of logical block addresses (LBAs) (the write operations to sectors relate to LBA as each sector has an LBA associated with it).
- 9. With respect to claims 6 and 14, said predetermined number of at least two write operations is four (as discussed above in the rejection of claim 1, Banhase discloses a disk system with at least four sectors, hence at least four writes for initialization).
- 10. With respect to claims 7, 8, 15 and 16, said causing step includes checking whether a write operation for at least one of said one or more ranges of LBAs has been completed to each of said drives of said array, and issuing a write operation for a next one or more LBA ranges to be written to each of said drives of said array (figure 3, as discussed above, the write operation is done one stripe at a time, i.e.).
- 11. With respect to claim 10, said bus subsystem is shared substantially equally by all said drives of said array when said controller controls said first: and second write operations (see rejection of claim 1 above).

Page 7 Application/Control Number: 09/942,391

Art Unit: 2186

With respect to claim 17, see rejections of claim 1 and 9 above. 12.

13. With respect to claim 19, as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, Banhase discloses a

disk storage system that requires at least five write operations and each write operation is

concerns an address range.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Woo H. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4179. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Who

November 19, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100